Warrant, Summary, and Votes

TOWN OF GROTON

SPECIAL TOWN MEETING
JANUARY 26, 2013

Groton-Dunstable Middle School Auditorium
344 Main Street, Groton, Massachusetts 01450

Beginning Saturday, January 26, 2013 @ 9:00 AM

Attention – Voters and Taxpayers

Please bring this Report to Town Meeting
SPECIAL TOWN MEETING
January 26, 2013

Town Moderator: Jason Kauppi

Board of Selectmen:
Stuart M. Shulman, Chairman
Peter S. Cunningham, Vice-Chairman
Jack G. Petropoulos, Clerk
Joshua A. Degen, Member
Anna Eliot, Member

Finance Committee:
Jay M. Prager, Chairman
Joseph Crowley
Gary Green
Peter J. DiFranco
Michael F. Flynn
Steven Webber, Vice Chairman
Robert Hargraves

Proceedings:

The meeting was called to order at 9:15 AM on January 26, 2013. Moderator Jason Kauppi presided.

This being a Special Town Meeting, a quorum of 155 registered voters was needed. At 9:15 AM, 281 registered voters were in attendance. (Ed. Note: At 10:00 am, 398 voters were in attendance.)

Mr. Peter D. Braudis led the Pledge of Allegiance.

It was proclaimed that January 26, 2013 would be Tessa David Recycling Day in honor of a recent Mass Recycler of the Year Award. Congratulations!

It was determined that the warrant was duly posted. The reading of the warrant was waived by unanimous vote.

Middlesex, ss.
Commonwealth of Massachusetts
To any Constable in the Town of Groton

Greetings:

In the name of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, you are hereby required to notify and warn said inhabitants of the Town of Groton qualified to vote on Town affairs to assemble in the Groton-Dunstable Middle School Auditorium in said Town on Saturday, the twenty-sixth day of January, 2013 at Nine O’Clock in the morning, to consider the following:

ARTICLE 1: HERBICIDE TREATMENT FOR LOST LAKE/KNOPS POND
To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate, transfer from available funds and/or borrow a sum or sums of money, to be expended by the Town Manager, for the herbicide treatment of weeds at Lost Lake/Knops Pond, and all costs associated and related thereto, or to take any other action relative thereto.

**BOARD OF SELECTMEN**

**Board of Selectmen: Recommended Unanimously**  
**Finance Committee: Recommended Unanimously**

**Summary:** Over the last eight years, Lost Lake/Knops Pond has become choked with non-native invasive weeds. Mechanical harvesting was allowed, but this form of control only exacerbated the spread of the invasive weeds because the fragments that it creates root themselves. In 2011, it was determined that about 64% of the surface area of Lost Lake/Knops Pond was covered by these invasives. In 2012, with no winter kill by ice, the coverage rose dramatically and now almost 100% of the shoreline is filled with invasive weeds making it virtually impossible as well as very dangerous to engage in recreational use of the Lake. After about twenty five years of trying alternative solutions to eradicate the invasive weeds, it was determined that the only effective treatment for this massive infestation is with the herbicide Sonar, used successfully in a primary water resource such as Spectacle Pond in Littleton, and the Neponset Reservoir in Foxborough, with no detectable herbicide found in any of the wells tested. Sonar has been approved by the EPA and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts since 1986 for use in potable water sources. The herbicidal treatment together with a resource management plan and a program to control re-infestation at the public boat launch will help restore the lake to a safe and usable state. The purpose of this article is to appropriate the necessary funding for this treatment, which based on bid results is $95,000.

**Mover:** Joshua Degen

**MOTION:** I move that the Town vote to transfer the sum of $95,000 from the Excess and Deficiency Fund (Free Cash), to be expended by the Town Manager, for the herbicide treatment of weeds at Lost Lake/Knops Pond, and all costs associated and related thereto.

Moved and Seconded  
**Quantum of Town Meeting Vote:** Majority

Summary of Discussion Points:

- Board of Selectmen, Finance Committee, Board of Health, Planning Board, Groton Lakes Association, Great Ponds Advisory Committee, and Conservation Commission support this article.
- Mr. Degen: The RFP was for a treatment called Sonar. Sonar does not kill fish or non-invasives. It is important to treat the lake early in the season. The treatment schedule includes a "full" treatment this year, and spot treatments as needed based upon monitoring.
- Mr. Danos (Groton resident, General Manager Littleton Light and Water): Sonar treatment has been successfully used in Littleton for 12 years. Included in the treatment sites is Spectacle Pond which is a 1 million gallon per day public water supply. Sampling has not detected samples of Sonar in the water supply or in private wells.
- Mr. Auman, Conservation Commission: has approved the plan and issued an order of conditions.
• Mr. Hoglund, Groton Water Commission: The Water Commission has withheld support unanimously due to concern of the use of herbicides in the area of aquifers. The long term effects of Sonar treatments are not known. Some weeds are beneficial as they prevent algae which can cause additional water quality issues. The Water Commission will provide baseline monitoring at the Whitney Well. Each body of water is different. Lost Lake / Knops Pond have not been as studied as Spectacle Pond. The Water Commission is charged with protecting the water supply.

• Mr. Degen: The ponds are a net importer of weeds via contaminated boats launched into the ponds. We have not had an enforcement mechanism to require boat washing. A new town bylaw, based upon state law, will be forthcoming at a future town meeting to address enforcement.

• Town Meeting Attendee: Request to clarify what is being voted upon.
  o The Town Meeting vote is to award the contract and commit the money.

Motion to Move the Question (Main Motion under Article 1):
  Moved and Seconded
  Quantum: 2/3rds Majority
  Vote on the Motion to Move the Question (Main Motion under Article 1):
    Chair declared passed by 2/3rds Majority. 7 voters did not contest.

VOTE on the Main Motion under Article 1: Passed by Majority Vote

ARTICLE 2: FUNDING FOR DEMOLITION AND/OR REPLACEMENT OF FITCH’S BRIDGE

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate, transfer from available funds, and/or borrow a sum or sums of money, to be expended by the Town Manager, for the demolition of Fitch’s Bridge and/or the construction of a new pedestrian, equestrian and bicycle (non-vehicular) bridge over the Nashua River at the location of the current Fitch’s Bridge that will connect Fitch’s Bridge Road and Pepperell Road, and all costs associated and related thereto, or to take any other action relative thereto.

BOARD OF SELECTMEN

Board of Selectmen: Unanimous in support of reconstruction
Finance Committee: Unanimous in support of demolition;
  Majority opposed to reconstruction

Summary: This article has two purposes that will be presented for Town Meeting consideration; each can be separate and distinct, or combined depending on the results of a competitive bid and analysis by the Board of Selectmen, Town Manager and Greenway Committee. The first purpose would be to provide funding to remove the existing bridge, which is becoming an increasing safety hazard, and stabilize the existing stone abutments. In addition, this article also proposes construction funding to provide a new pedestrian/bicycling/equestrian crossing in the location of the current Fitch’s Bridge. Should the Town Meeting support this construction funding, the bridge approaches and abutments will be improved as part of the project. This is the final step to providing restored access between Fitch’s Bridge
Road in Groton and Pepperell Road in West Groton. It will also be the only river crossing between Groton and West Groton built for pedestrians, bicyclists, and equestrians.

Mover: Stuart Schulman

MOTION: I move that the Town vote, pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 44B, Section 5, to appropriate the sum of $193,782 from the Community Preservation Fund Unallocated Reserve and the sum of $31,627 from the Community Preservation Fund Historic Resource Reserve, and to appropriate the sum of $160,000 from the Stabilization Fund for a total of $385,409, all to be expended by the Town Manager, for the demolition of Fitch’s Bridge and the construction of a new pedestrian, equestrian and bicycle (non-vehicular) bridge over the Nashua River, at the location of the current Fitch’s Bridge, that will connect Fitch’s Bridge Road and Pepperell Road, and all costs associated and related thereto.

Moved and Seconded
Quantum of Town Meeting Vote: 2/3’s Majority

Summary of Discussion Points:

- David Manugian presented a summary of research, recommendations and costs associated with the demolition and replacement of Fitch’s Bridge. A replacement bridge would be a non-vehicular bridge connecting approximately 100 miles of trails on both sides of the Nashua River.
- Mr. DeGroot, Community Preservation Committee: The Community Preservation Committee unanimously recommended to accept the out of sequence application and recommend CPC funds for the replacement of the bridge. The application was accepted to unique timing requirements (e.g. river height). The money would be available upon this Town Meeting’s vote, and allocated from the FY 2013 CPC budget.
- Mr. Auman, Conservation Commission: The Conservation Commission supports the replacement of Fitch’s Bridge by unanimous vote. An order of conditions was issued on January 8th.
- Mr. Stathis, Trails Committee: The Trails Committee voted unanimous support for the replacement of the bridge.
- Finance Committee: A majority of the Finance Committee voted to Indefinitely Postpone this article to the Spring Annual Town Meeting. A unanimous vote was in support of the demolition of the bridge. A majority opposed the replacement of the bridge being voted at this Special Town Meeting. This project is more appropriate to be addressed during the regular CPC process. It is a discretionary expense that should be considered in the context of the town budget at the Annual Town Meeting.

MOTION to Indefinitely Postpone Article 2 (Mover: Jay Prager)
Purpose: Postpone action on the Article until the Spring Town Meeting
Moved and Seconded
Quantum: Majority

- Mr. Cunningham: Opposes motion to Indefinitely Postpone
- Mr. Haddad: The Fitch’s Bridge project is being presented at this Special Town Meeting after a competitive bid process. The Town has 30 days to award the contract which would expire before the Spring Town Meeting. The
vender could agree to hold the bid for a longer period, but if not the project
would need to be rebid. With favorable bids, the CPC was asked to consider
the out of sequence application for funding to eliminate bonding costs.
- Town Meeting Attendee: Will this bridge be safe? Will this eliminate
  recreational jumping off the bridge?
  - Response: The bridge will be built to safety standards. It may not be
  possible to eliminate recreational jumping.

MOTION to Move the Question (Indefinitely Postpone)
Moved and Seconded
Quantum: 2/3rds Majority
Vote on Motion to Move the Question: Passed by 2/3rds Majority

VOTE on Motion to Indefinitely Postpone Article 2: Defeated
- Ms. Stoddart: A new bridge will capitalize on years of river improvement work by
  allowing more people to enjoy the Nashua River.
- Park Commission: Unanimous support. Use of the bridge will allow bikers to use
  the trails and avoid major car routes.

MOTION to Move the Question (Main Motion Article 2)
Moved and Seconded
Quantum: 2/3rds Majority
Vote on Motion to Move the Question (Main Motion Article 2):
Carried by 2/3rds Majority

VOTE on the Main Motion under Article 2:
Quantum: 2/3rds Majority
Chair declared 2/3rds Majority. 7 Voters did not contest.

ARTICLE 3: CONSTRUCTION FUNDING FOR NEW CENTER FIRE STATION

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate, transfer from available funds,
and/or borrow a sum or sums of money, to be expended by the Town Manager, for the purpose
of constructing, equipping and furnishing a new Center Fire Station and all other costs
associated and related thereto, including construction administration, on land on Farmers Row,
shown as Lot 4 on a plan entitled, "Approval Not Required Plan, Lawrence Homestead Trust,
Farmers Row, Groton, MA", prepared by Gale Associates and Romanelli Associates, Inc.,
dated April 24, 2012, recorded as Plan 296 of 2012 at the Middlesex South Registry of Deeds,
and also shown on Assessor’s Map 108 as Parcel 1-4; and to authorize the Town Manager to
contract for and in the name of the Town for such purpose and to do all things necessary
for the accomplishment of the foregoing purpose, including the expenditure of all appropriated funds
and any funds received from the Commonwealth of Massachusetts or other sources for such
construction, or to take any other action relative thereto.

BOARD OF SELECTMEN

Board of Selectmen: Recommended Unanimously
Finance Committee: Recommended By Majority Vote (4 In Favor, 1 Against, 1 Deferred)
Summary: At the 2012 Spring Town Meeting, the Town voted to purchase a 2.79 acre parcel on Farmers Row to construct a new Center Fire Station. In addition, the Town appropriated $800,000 to design and permit the new station, as well as put the construction out to bid. The project is fully permitted and on December 21, 2012, the Town received the bids for the Station. Please see the updated project budget included in this Warrant as Appendix A. The Board of Selectmen, Town Manager and Building Committee will be presenting the bid results to the Town Meeting for the appropriation of the necessary funds to award a construction contract. Should the Special Town Meeting approve this article, it is anticipated that construction on the new Center Fire Station will commence by March 1, 2013 with an anticipated completion date of April 1, 2014.

Mover: Peter Cunningham

MOTION: I move that the Town vote to appropriate the sum of $7,084,589, to be expended by the Town Manager, for the purpose of constructing, equipping and furnishing a new Center Fire Station, and all other costs associated and related thereto, including construction administration, on land on Farmers Row, shown as Lot 4 on a plan entitled, “Approval Not Required Plan, Lawrence Homestead Trust, Farmers Row, Groton, MA”, prepared by Gale Associates and Romanelli Associates, Inc., dated April 24, 2012, recorded as Plan 296 of 2012 at the Middlesex South Registry of Deeds, also being shown on Assessors’ Map 108 as Parcel 1-4; that to meet this appropriation, the Treasurer, with the approval of the Selectmen, is authorized to borrow the sum of $6,934,589 under and pursuant to Chapter 44, Section 7(3) of the General Laws, or pursuant to any other enabling authority, and to issue bonds or notes of the Town therefor, and that the additional sum of $150,000 be transferred from the Stabilization Fund, and that the Town Manager be authorized to contract for the accomplishment of the foregoing purpose, including the expenditure of all appropriated funds and any funds received from the Commonwealth of Massachusetts or other sources for such project.

Moved and Seconded
Quantum of Town Meeting Vote: 2/3’s Majority

Summary of Discussion Points:

• Mr. Haddad: Offered a presentation explaining the proposed financing of the fire station. The fire station bond can be paid within the tax levy limit of the town. Bond payments are estimated to be approximately $500,000 per year for 22 years.
• Fire Chief Bosselait: The current fire station is inadequate for modern fire equipment. The housing of the fire department in two locations impacts response time. The proposed fire station has been approved by all the relevant committees and boards. It can be funded within the tax levy.
• Mr. Januskiewicz, Fire Station Building Committee: Voted in favor of the article. The fire station will be an improvement in fire fighter working conditions. The Building Committee has done diligent work in reviewing designs and plans. All committees responsible for aspects of the building have approved the plans.
• Mr. Loving, Proponent to fund the fire station via a town-wide ballot vote (i.e. a Proposition 2 ½ Debt Exclusion): Remarks were stated to be not about the merits of the fire station, but about the funding mechanism. His concern is the long term impact of the bond payments on the town budget to the town’s ability to fund other capital and extraordinary expenses. A project of this size should go to a town ballot.
MOTION to Amend the Main Motion under Article 3 (offered by Rule Loving):

I move to amend the Main Motion …by adding the following language to the end of the Article (offered by Rule Loving):

“, provided that the portion of this appropriation to be borrowed shall be contingent upon the vote of the Town at an election to exempt from the provisions of Proposition 2 ½, so-called, the amount required to pay the cost of the bonds or notes issued by the Town, including principal and interest.”

Moved and Seconded
Quantum: Majority

- Mr. Haddad: The funds for the fire station debt payments are included in the FY 2014 proposed town budget. It will not impact services. Excluding the debt does reduce expenses under the levy, but does not reduce the tax levy itself. There is levy capacity that could be voted by a town meeting, and it’s possible the town, in combination with the excluded debt, exceed what would have been the levy imposed limit.

Bids were received December 21, 2012. The town has 30 business days to respond. This is the reason why this special town meeting was planned. If the authorization went to a ballot, it would be a minimum of an additional 35 days, and could result in a requirement to rebid the project, unless the vendors agreed to hold pricing. If a new bid was necessary, its likely prices would be higher as contractors generally have their work schedule set early in the year.

- Mr. Cunningham: Extended complements to Mr. Loving for his proposal, but speaks against the amendment. With the acceptance of the town charter, we have a fundamentally different form of government with a town manager and a finance team. He and they are responsible to manage the budget.

- Mr. Degen: It’s always been the intention of the Selectmen to fund the fire station within the levy limit.

- Mr. Hargraves, Finance Committee: Mr. Loving’s amendment is about the funding and approval at a town ballot, not about the project. He supports the ballot approach.

- Mr. Roy, Attendee: Is debt exclusion a proposition 2 ½ override?
  o Response: Yes, but only for the term of the bond. There is no other provision under the law to have a binding vote to disperse these monies.

- Mr. Manugian, Attendee: Is Other Post Employment Benefits funded? A debt exclusion could give the town more leeway to fund OPEB and other unexpected expenses.
  o Response - Mr. Haddad: The town pays OPEB as we go. The town is now funding set-asides.

- Attendee: The town funded non-recurring items in FY 2013 using the amount of money targeted for the fire station. Why do we believe there won’t be similar significant non-recurring expenses in the future?
  o Response – Mr. Haddad: The capital stabilization fund is replenished annually. That fund would be used.

- Ms. Manugian, Attendee: the financial projections show a decreasing surplus over the next 5 years. What is the trend after 5 years?
  o Response-Mr. Haddad: These projections are based upon conservative assumptions. If necessary, the fire station remaining bond could be “debt excluded” at a future date.
- Mr. Lyman, Attendee: Likes to see constraints on the budget. The costs will be the same using either mechanism. Does not like the excess spending capacity available using the debt exclusion mechanism. Likes the option of a future debt exclusion, should it be necessary. Not in support of the amendment.
- Mr. Harker, Attendee: This is a test of town meeting and confidence in the town manager form of government and the budget process. Believes it is working.

MOTION to move the question (Amendment offered by Rule Loving)
Moved and Seconded
Quantum: 2/3rds Majority
Vote to Move the Question: Passed by 2/3rds Majority

VOTE on Amendment to Article 3 offered by Rule Loving:
Quantum: Majority
92 votes in support of the amendment
200 votes against the amendment
Amendment is defeated

MOTION to Move the Question (Main Motion under article 3)
Moved and Seconded
Quantum: 2/3rds Majority
Vote on Motion to Move the Question (Main Motion under article 3):
Motion passed by 2/3rds Majority

VOTE on the Main Motion under article 3:
Quantum: 2/3rds Majority
227 in favor of the Main Motion
58 Opposed
Main Motion is passed by 2/3rds Majority

ARTICLE 4: CITIZENS’ PETITION – CREATION OF LOST LAKE SEWER DISTRICT

To see if the Town will vote, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 83 of the Massachusetts General Laws, to establish a sewer system in the Town of Groton to be known as the “Lost Lake Sewer System,” to serve the Lost Lake area of the Town, and to adopt the following bylaw, as Chapter 191 of the Code of the Town of Groton, for said purpose, including provisions for the expansion of said Sewer System by a Town Meeting Vote:

Lost Lake Sewer System

§191-1 Establishment

There is hereby established a Sewer System within the Town entitled “Lost Lake Sewer System” comprised of land situated in a geographical area bounded and described on a plan of land entitled “Lost Lake Sewer District” drawn by Woodard and Curran and dated September, 2012, on file with the Office of the Town Clerk. The inhabitants of said geographical area shall be served by a system of sewerage to be provided by the Town within the territorial limits and capacity of said system.
§191-2 Extension and expansion

The system may be extended and expanded to serve an increased land area if there remains sufficient capacity by a majority vote of an Annual Town Meeting or a Special Town Meeting.

§191-3 Assessment for general and special benefit facilities

Pursuant to MGL c. 83, §15, in the assessment of betterments for the Lost Lake Sewer System and any extension thereof, the costs of general benefit facilities including, but not limited to, pumping stations, trunk and force mains, shall be separated from the costs of special benefit facilities including, but not limited to, the sewer mains serving adjacent properties.

or to take any other action relative thereto.

**CITIZENS’ PETITION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jack Petropoulos</td>
<td>18 Kemp Street</td>
<td>Russell Burke</td>
<td>324 Old Ayer Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Harker</td>
<td>341 Martins Pond Road</td>
<td>Margaret Roberts</td>
<td>158 Hemlock Park Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthur Blackman</td>
<td>179 Indian Hill Road</td>
<td>Barbara Spiegelman</td>
<td>94 Raddin Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camilla Blackman</td>
<td>179 Indian Hill Road</td>
<td>David Spiegelman</td>
<td>94 Raddin Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Pine</td>
<td>100 Hollis Street</td>
<td>Edward O’Rourke</td>
<td>43 Main Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Meibers</td>
<td>37 Nod Road</td>
<td>Sally Smith</td>
<td>13 Common Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradbury Smith</td>
<td>13 Common Street</td>
<td>Jane Allen</td>
<td>34 Shattuck Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion Stoddart</td>
<td>59 Long Hill Road</td>
<td>Carl Canner</td>
<td>35 Cannery Row</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfred Irelan</td>
<td>136 Hollis Street</td>
<td>Michael Noble</td>
<td>44 Kemp Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janet Dillon</td>
<td>92 Kemp Street</td>
<td>Kerry Flanagan</td>
<td>93 Kemp Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffrey Box</td>
<td>409 Pepperell Road</td>
<td>Olga Box</td>
<td>409 Pepperell Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Pitkin</td>
<td>517 Pepperell Road</td>
<td>Kristin Barr</td>
<td>517 Pepperell Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Funch</td>
<td>92 Reedy Meadow Road</td>
<td>Carol Courrier</td>
<td>498 Boston Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Manugian</td>
<td>7 Shepley Street</td>
<td>Marcia Birmingham</td>
<td>3 Westbrook Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter S. Cunningham</td>
<td>44 Smith Street</td>
<td>Patrick Murphy</td>
<td>39 Britt Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Lotz</td>
<td>163 Indian Hill Road</td>
<td>Frank Vangeli</td>
<td>12 Hemlock Park Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Vangeli</td>
<td>12 Hemlock Park Drive</td>
<td>June Johnson</td>
<td>8 Elm Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Johnson</td>
<td>8 Elm Street</td>
<td>Michael Metzger</td>
<td>20 Broadmeadow Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Gogas</td>
<td>130 Gratiuity Road</td>
<td>Virginia Gogas</td>
<td>130 Gratiuity Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Lodge</td>
<td>156 Pepperell Road</td>
<td>Frederick Wilson</td>
<td>227 Forge Village Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Arrighi</td>
<td>7 Wood Lane</td>
<td>Michael Weiser</td>
<td>516 Martins Pond Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Johnson</td>
<td>43 Champney Street</td>
<td>John Tabaczynski</td>
<td>45 Fletcher Hill Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristen O’Reilly</td>
<td>9 Old Homestead Road</td>
<td>Guilford Dube</td>
<td>124 Raddin Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas A. Boggiano</td>
<td>21 Whistle Post Lane</td>
<td>John Harrington</td>
<td>310 Chicopee Row</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffrey Baker</td>
<td>16 Bryanwood Lane</td>
<td>Thomas Crow</td>
<td>25 Indian Hill Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Yarmus</td>
<td>341 Pepperell Road</td>
<td>Terase Weisinger</td>
<td>341 Pepperell Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Murdock</td>
<td>234 Hoyts Wharf Road</td>
<td>Alan Parker</td>
<td>225 Whiley Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Parker</td>
<td>225 Whiley Road</td>
<td>Christopher Ormsby</td>
<td>43 Forge Village Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Sjoberg</td>
<td>51 Chicopee Row</td>
<td>Eric Bach</td>
<td>36 Floyd Hill Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damien Madden</td>
<td>455 Longley Road</td>
<td>Jeffrey Thompson</td>
<td>35B Old Lantern Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andy Fullmann</td>
<td>35 Wintergreen Lane</td>
<td>Victor Forand</td>
<td>239 Hoyts Wharf Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Morin</td>
<td>51 Allens Trail</td>
<td>Loretto Aiken</td>
<td>408 Chicopee Row</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Aiken</td>
<td>408 Chicopee Row</td>
<td>James Alnwick</td>
<td>309 Old Dunstable Rd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

January 26, 2013 Special Town Meeting Warrant
Summary: The creation of a Lost Lake sewer system will formally establish the geographical area of the Town that is the subject of the Lost Lake Sewer initiative. At this point, the Lost Lake Sewer initiative is in an evaluation phase. During the evaluation phase, the creation of such a district is required in order to apply for certain grants that the Town would not otherwise be qualified to pursue. In particular, if the Town determines to move to the design phase, the district could be eligible for a grant from the USDA for up to $1,000,000 in assistance in covering design costs. In order to qualify for application for USDA grants, Groton would need to show substantial progress in the creation of the Lost Lake sewer district by March 31, 2013.

The creation of a Lost Lake sewer district carries only the cost of modest legal fees. It does not oblige the Town to any further action. It can be modified in the future should we determine that certain properties should be included or excluded from the district for justifiable reasons. Should Town Meeting approve the creation of a Lost Lake sewer system at this Special Town Meeting, a future article would be required to ratify the District prior to a commitment to move forward with the construction of a sewer system. The purpose of bringing this issue to this Special Town Meeting is solely to meet the March 31st deadline for eligibility for USDA funding that could reduce the overall cost of the Lost Lake Sewer project if the Town determines to move forward with the project at a later date. It is not intended to advance the project in any other way.

There will be two information sessions held to review the proposal and its implications prior to Town Meeting. These sessions will be held at the Lost Lake Fire Station on Saturday January 19 at 9:00 A.M. and Tuesday January 22 at 7:00 P.M.

Mover: John Petropoulos

MOTION: I move that the Town vote, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 83 of the Massachusetts General Laws, to establish a sewer system in the Town of Groton to be known as the “Lost Lake Sewer System,” to serve the Lost Lake area of the Town, and to adopt the “Lost Lake Sewer System Bylaw, as set forth in the Warrant and as on file in the Office of the
Town Clerk, as Chapter 191 of the Code of the Town of Groton, for said purpose, including provisions for the expansion of said Sewer System by a Town Meeting Vote.

Moved and Seconded
Quantum of Town Meeting Vote: Majority

MOTION to Indefinitely Postpone the Main Motion under article 4 (offered by Jack Petropoulos)
   Moved and Seconded
   Quantum: Majority

MOTION to Move the Question to Indefinitely Postpone the Main Motion under Article 4
   Moved and Seconded
   Quantum: 2/3rds Majority
   Vote on the Motion to Move the Question to Indefinitely Postpone:
      Passed by 2/3rds Majority

Vote on Motion to Indefinitely Postpone the Main Motion under Article 4:
   Passed by Majority Vote

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

MOTION to DISSOLVE the Special Town Meeting
   Moved and Seconded
   Quantum: Majority
   Vote to Dissolve: Passed by Majority Vote

The Special Town Meeting was dissolved at 12:55 PM on January 26, 2013.

Hereof fail not and make return of your doings to the Town Clerk on or before time of said meeting.

Given under our hands this 7th Day of January in the year of our Lord Two Thousand Thirteen.

Stuart M. Schulman
Stuart M. Schulman, Chairman

Peter S. Cunningham
Peter S. Cunningham, Vice Chairman

John G. Petropoulos
John G. Petropoulos, Clerk
Pursuant to the within Warrant, I have this day notified the Inhabitants to assemble at the time, place, and for the purpose mentioned as within directed. Personally posted by Constable.

______________________________
Constable

______________________________
Date Duly Posted

OFFICERS RETURN
Groton, Middlesex
# APPENDIX A

## GROTON CENTER FIRE STATION

**PROJECT BUDGET**

**BASED ON BID RESULTS ON DECEMBER 21, 2012**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>TOTAL COST</th>
<th>SPENT TO</th>
<th>BALANCE TO COMPLETION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONSTRUCTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site and Building Construction</td>
<td>$6,059,000</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$6,059,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Actual Bids Received on 12-21-2012)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Contingency</td>
<td>$302,950</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$302,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% of Construction Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST</strong></td>
<td>$6,361,950</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$6,361,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOFT COSTS (Non Construction)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architect</td>
<td>$690,343</td>
<td>$500,499</td>
<td>$189,844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Services</td>
<td>$118,796</td>
<td>$61,637</td>
<td>$57,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Estimating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Professional Services</td>
<td>$47,500</td>
<td>$44,720</td>
<td>$2,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permitting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geotechnical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner’s Direct Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>$115,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk of the Works</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bid Document Printing</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$13,900</td>
<td>$16,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Costs</td>
<td>$66,000</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$66,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioning</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Testing</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furnishing and Equipment</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Expenses</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$14,553</td>
<td>$15,447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Acquisition</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL SOFT COSTS</strong></td>
<td>$1,872,639</td>
<td>$670,309</td>
<td>$1,202,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PROJECT COSTS</strong></td>
<td>$8,234,589</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## FUNDING SOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMS Fund (Land Acquisition appropriated at 2012 Spring Town Meeting)</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Levy (Land Acquisition appropriated at 2012 Spring Town Meeting)</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilization Fund (Clerk of Works to be Requested at 1-26-2013 STM)</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Authorization (Design and Bid appropriated at 2012 Spring Town Meeting)</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Authorization Needed to Complete the Project</td>
<td>$6,934,589</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Article 1 will ask for additional Borrowing Authorization of $6,934,589 for a total Bond Authorization of $7,734,589. Town Meeting will also be asked to appropriate $150,000 from the Stabilization Fund to pay for the Clerk of the Works. This amount was transferred into the Stabilization for this purpose at the 2012 Fall Town Meeting.